

E-Filed on 9/4/09

1 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600  
2 Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996  
3 Facsimile (702) 949-8321  
4 Telephone (702) 949-8320

5 Rob Charles NV State Bar No. 006593  
6 Email: rcharles@lrlaw.com  
7 John Hinderaker AZ State Bar No. 018024  
8 Email: jhinderaker@lrlaw.com  
9 Marvin Ruth NV State Bar No. 10979  
10 Email: mruth@lrlaw.com

11 Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust

12 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**  
13 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

14 In re:

15 USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE  
16 COMPANY,

17 USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS,  
18 LLC,<sup>1</sup>

19 USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST  
20 DEED FUND, LLC,

21 USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED  
22 FUND, LLC,<sup>2</sup>

23 USA SECURITIES, LLC,<sup>3</sup> Debtors.

24 **Affects:**

- All Debtors
- USA Commercial Mortgage Company
- USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC
- USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC
- USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC
- USA Securities, LLC

25 Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR<sup>1</sup>  
26 Case No. BK-S-06-10726-LBR<sup>1</sup>  
1 Case No. BK-S-06-10727-LBR<sup>2</sup>  
2 Case No. BK-S-06-10728-LBR<sup>2</sup>  
3 Case No. BK-S-06-10729-LBR<sup>3</sup>

27 **CHAPTER 11**

28 Jointly Administered Under Case No.  
29 BK-S-06-10725 LBR

30 **EIGHTEENTH OMNIBUS  
31 OBJECTION OF USACM TRUST TO  
32 PROOFS OF CLAIM BASED UPON  
33 INVESTMENT IN THE BAY  
34 POMPANO BEACH LOAN**

35 Date of Hearing: October 5, 2009

36 Time of Hearing: 9:30 a.m.

37 The USACM Liquidating Trust (the “USACM Trust”) moves this Court, pursuant  
38 to § 502 of title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) and  
39 Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), for an  
40 order disallowing the Proofs of Claim filed by individual investors (“Direct Lenders”)

41 <sup>1</sup> This bankruptcy case was closed on September 23, 2008.

42 <sup>2</sup> This bankruptcy case was closed on October 12, 2007.

43 <sup>3</sup> This bankruptcy case was closed on December 21, 2007.

1 against USA Commercial Mortgage Company (“USACM”) to the extent such claims are  
2 based upon an investment in the Bay Pompano Beach Loan (the “Pompano Loan”).  
3

## **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

### **I. BACKGROUND**

1       1. On April 13, 2006 (“Petition Date”), USA Commercial Mortgage Company  
2 (“USACM”), USA Securities, LLC, USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC, USA Capital  
3 Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC, and USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC  
4 (collectively, the “Debtors”), filed voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of the  
5 Bankruptcy Code. Debtors continued to operate their businesses, if any, as debtors-in-  
6 possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtors’ post-  
7 petition management of the Debtors was under the direction of Thomas J. Allison of  
8 Mesirow Financial Interim Management, LLC (“Mesirow”), who served as the Chief  
9 Restructuring Officer.  
10

11       2. USACM is a Nevada corporation that, prior to the Petition Date, was in the  
12 business of underwriting, originating, brokering, funding and servicing commercial loans  
13 primarily secured by real estate, both on behalf of investors and for its own account.  
14

15       3. That business included the solicitation of individual investors to purchase  
16 fractional interest in loans that USACM originated and then serviced. These investors,  
17 totaling approximately 3,600 as of the Petition Date, are referred to as “Direct Lenders” in  
18 USACM’s bankruptcy case and in this Objection.  
19

20       4. On September 14, 2006, the Court entered its Order Setting Deadline to File  
21 Proofs of Claim and Proofs of Interest [Docket No. 1280] (the “Bar Date Order”). The  
22 Bar Date Order established 5:00 p.m., prevailing Pacific Time, on November 13, 2006, as  
23 the deadline (“Bar Date”) for creditors to file proof of claims.  
24

1       5. On September 25, 2006, Debtors served a copy of the Bar Date Order on  
2 their service lists [Docket No. 1358]. All Creditors were served with a copy of the Bar  
3 Date order as well [Docket No. 1358].

4       6. On November 6, 2006, a stipulation was filed and an order entered extending  
5 the Bar Date for Direct Lenders only to file proofs of claim until January 13, 2007 [Docket  
6 No. 1729].

7       7. On January 8, 2007, this Court entered its Order Confirming the “Debtors’  
8 Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization” as Modified Herein [Docket No.  
9 2376] (the “Confirmation Order”).

10      8. As part of the Plan, USACM sold the servicing rights to most of the loans it  
11 serviced, including the Pompano Loan, to Compass Financial Partners, LLC. The sale to  
12 Compass Financial Partners closed on February 16, 2007.

13      9. Under the Plan, the USACM Trust is the successor to USACM with respect  
14 to standing to seek allowance and disallowance of Claims.

15      10. On information and belief, Silar Advisors foreclosed on its loan(s) to  
16 Compass Financial Partners in September 2008 and alleges that it has become the  
17 successor servicer to the Pompano Loan and the Direct Lenders thereto

18      11. Under the Plan, unsecured claims of Direct Lenders against USACM are  
19 classified in Class A-5. Allowed Unsecured Claims “shall receive a beneficial interest in  
20 the USACM Trust, and on account of their Allowed Claim may receive a Pro Rata Share  
21 of the assets of the USACM Trust after satisfaction of all Allowed unclassified Claims,  
22 Allowed Class A-1, A-2, and A-3 Claims, and all post-Effective Date fees, costs, and  
23 expenses of implementation of the USACM Plan for USACM and the USACM Trust.”

24      12. The USACM Trust exists as of the Effective Date of the Plan, which was  
25 March 12, 2007. Geoffrey L. Berman is the Trustee.

1       13. **Exhibit A** attached, lists Proofs of Claim filed by Direct Lenders that appear  
2 to be based entirely upon an investment in the Pompano Loan. **Exhibit A** identifies the  
3 Proof of Claim number, the claimant, the claimant's address, the total amount of the claim  
4 and the total amount of the claim that appears to be related to an investment in the  
5 Pompano Loan based upon the information provided by the claimant. The claims listed  
6 in **Exhibit A** are referred to hereafter as the Pompano Claims.

7       **II. JURISDICTION**

8       14. The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334  
9 and 157. Venue is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core  
10 proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

11       15. The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are 11 U.S.C. § 502  
12 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

13       **III. APPLICABLE AUTHORITY**

14       16. Under the Bankruptcy Code, any Claim for which a proof of claim has been  
15 filed will be allowed unless a party in interest objects. If a party in interest objects to the  
16 proof of claim, the Court, after notice and hearing, shall determine the amount of the  
17 Claim and shall allow the Claim except to the extent that the Claim is "unenforceable  
18 against the debtor . . . under any . . . applicable law for a reason other than because such  
19 claim is contingent or unmatured." 11 U.S.C. § 502(b).

20       17. The USACM Trust is entitled to object to proofs of claim under 11 U.S.C.  
21 § 502(a). This objection is timely under the confirmed Plan, as the deadline for such  
22 objections has been extended to October 7, 2009, by this Court's orders.

23       18. A properly filed proof of claim is presumed valid under Bankruptcy Rule  
24 3001(f). However, once an objection to the proof of claim controverts the presumption,  
25 the creditor ultimately bears the burden of persuasion as to the validity and amount of the  
26 claim. *See Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortg. (In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.),*

1 178 B.R. 222, 226 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1995), *aff'd*, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996). The ultimate  
2 burden of proof as to the validity of a proof of claim "remains at all times upon the  
3 claimant." *Lundell v. Anchor Constr. Specialists, Inc. (In re Lundell)*, 223 F.3d 1035,  
4 1039 (9th Cir. 2000).

5 **IV. THE POMPANO LOAN DIRECT LENDERS**

6 19. On June 20, 2005, Bay Pompano Beach, LLC made and delivered to various  
7 lenders, including the Direct Lenders identified in **Exhibit A**, a Promissory Note, in an  
8 amount of \$32,000,000 (the "Note").

9 20. The Pompano Loan is secured by a first position Mortgage, Security  
10 Agreement and Assignment of Rents ("Mortgage") on real property and improvements  
11 thereon, as described in the Mortgage. The Mortgage was recorded on June 30, 2005 at  
12 Instrument No. 105140658 in the Official Records of the Broward County Commission,  
13 Florida.

14 21. Upon information and belief, the Pompano Loan was fully secured at the  
15 time of the loan and was fully secured at the time USACM's servicing rights were sold to  
16 Compass Partners.

17 22. Upon information and belief, the property securing the Pompano Loan had  
18 an approximate value of \$30,100,000 as of May 25, 2006 based on an appraisal by Hilco  
19 Real Estate Appraisal, LLC dated June 16, 2006.

20 23. The Loan defaulted on June 19, 2006.

21 **V. THE OBJECTIONS**

22 24. The Direct Lenders fail to state a claim as the Direct Lenders have not been  
23 damaged by USACM. Upon information and belief, the Direct Lenders may be owed the  
24 following amounts as of July 1, 2009:

25 \$3,387,598 Principal

26 \$4,545,208 Accrued Interest

1                   \$7,932,806 Total of above

2 Thus, even assuming the Direct Lenders are entitled to all of the above amounts, the  
3 Pompano Loan appears to have been fully secured at the time USACM sold its servicing  
4 rights, and fully secured at the time the Pompano Loan was entered into.

5       25. The Trust objects to the Direct Lenders' claims to the extent they are based  
6 on repaid principal.

7       26. The Direct Lenders fail to state a claim as USACM has not breached the  
8 servicing contract. USACM was under no duty to foreclose on the property securing the  
9 Pompano Loan, though a Notice of Default was sent to the Borrower and guarantors  
10 during the pendency of the USACM bankruptcy and prior to the sale of the servicing  
11 rights to Compass Financial Partners. To the extent the property's value has dropped since  
12 USACM sold the servicing rights pursuant to the Plan of Reorganization, USACM is no  
13 longer a party to any servicing contract and consequently has no rights, duties, or  
14 obligations thereunder.

15       27. USACM did not guarantee the Direct Lenders' investment in the Pompano  
16 Loan. The Direct Lenders took a known risk by investing. Accordingly, USACM is not  
17 liable for the Borrower's default or any decrease in the value of the collateral caused by  
18 the downturn in the real estate market.

19       **VI. CONCLUSION**

20       The USACM Trust respectfully requests that the Court disallow the claims listed in  
21 **Exhibit A** based upon a Direct Lender investment in the Pompano Loan. The USACM  
22 Trust also requests such other and further relief as is just and proper.

23       Dated: September 4, 2009.

1

2 LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

3

4 By /s/ Marvin Ruth (#10979)  
5 Rob Charles, NV 6593  
6 John Hinderaker, AZ 18024 (*pro hac vice*)  
7 Marvin Ruth, NV 10979  
8 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600  
9 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169  
Telephone: (702) 949-8200  
Facsimile: (702) 949-8398  
E-mail: mruth@lrlaw.com  
Attorneys for the USACM Liquidating Trust

10 Copy of the foregoing and pertinent  
11 portion of Exhibit A mailed by first  
class postage prepaid U.S. Mail on  
September 4, 2009 to all parties listed on  
12 Exhibit A attached.

13 LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

14

15 /s/ Carrie Lawrence  
16 Carrie Lawrence

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26